AI-Driven Predictive Models for Patient Readmission in Post-surgical Care

Mohammed Aljuaid[1], Hana Chen Wei Jun[2], Roy Rillera Marzo[3, *]

[1] Department of Health Administration, College of Business Administration,King Saud University, Riyadh, Saudi Arabia

[2] Faculty of Humanities and Health Sciences,Curtin University, Malaysia, Miri, Sarawak, Malaysia

[3] Faculty of Humanities and Health Sciences,Curtin University, Malaysia, Miri, Sarawak, Malaysia

[*]Email: roy.marzo@curtin.edu.my

Abstract

Post-surgical readmission remains a persistent challenge in healthcare, which contributes significantly to patient morbidity, healthcare costs, and system inefficiencies. Accurate early prediction of readmission risk is crucial for enabling proactive interventions and improving surgical outcomes. In this study, we developed and evaluated AI-driven predictive models, including XGBoost, random forests, and neural networks, utilizing structured clinical data from sources such as MIMIC-III and hospital databases. Through comprehensive feature engineering encompassing clinical indicators and socioeconomic factors, and the application of explainable AI techniques like SHAP, we identified key predictors of readmission and achieved superior model performance compared to conventional statistical methods. Our findings demonstrate that AI models not only enhance prediction accuracy but also provide clinically interpretable insights and facilitate personalized post-operative care strategies. However, challenges such as model interpretability, data privacy, generalizability, and ethical considerations must be addressed for successful real-world deployment. This research contributes a validated predictive framework and envisions intelligent post-operative management systems that leverage AI to optimize patient outcomes and healthcare resource utilization.

Keywords: Post-surgical readmission; Predictive modeling; Artificial intelligence; SHAP

Article History:
Received January 05, 2023
Revised March 20, 2023
Accepted April 01, 2023
Available Online April 12, 2023

See: https://inatgi.in/index.php/jaihbe/index for more information. DOI: 10.63646/MOLA2980

AI-Driven Predictive Models for Patient Readmission in Post-surgical Care

1. Introduction

Postoperative readmissions are a significant burden on healthcare systems worldwide, which impacts both patient outcomes and the economic stability of hospitals. As surgical procedures become more complex and the global population ages, postoperative readmission rates continue to rise, and it poses a challenge to clinicians who strive to provide high-quality and cost-effective care. [Ljungqvist, et al., 2021]. Readmissions not only reflect disease progression or recurrence, but often indicate underlying problems with postoperative care, discharge planning, or patient family management. From an economic perspective, unplanned readmissions can result in significant fines for healthcare organizations, particularly in systems where reimbursement is tied to quality metrics, such as the Hospital Readmission Reduction Program (HRRP) in the United States. From the clinical point of view, unplanned readmission makes patients face additional risks, including hospital infection, medication errors and psychological stress, which ultimately destroys the expected benefits of surgical intervention [Merkow, et al., 2015; McIntyre, et al., 2016].

Although its importance is recognized, it is still a daunting challenge to predict postoperative readmission. Many factors lead to high heterogeneity of patients undergoing surgery; Their risk profile varies with various variables, which leads to this complexity, including the type of operation, complications, socio-demographic characteristics and postoperative complications [Memtsoudis, et al., 2009]. Traditional risk assessment tools and scoring systems, while valuable, often rely on linear assumptions and limited feature sets that fail to capture the intricate interactions between these variables. Patients can change rapidly after discharge, influenced by factors such as medication adherence, social support, and access to follow-up care—factors that are difficult to quantify or monitor continuously [Faridi, et al., 2016]. Another complicating factor is the problem of incomplete or noisy data in clinical settings [Molenberghs & Kenward, 2007]. Electronic Health Records (EHRs), while rich in information, often have issues with missing entries, inconsistencies, and inter-institutional variability, which makes it more difficult to develop robust, generalizable prediction models. The need for timely predictions adds another layer of complexity: clinicians need tools that can provide reliable assessments early enough to intervene effectively, but early data may lack the full context needed for accurate predictions.

In this environment of multifactorial risk and incomplete information, Artificial Intelligence (AI) has emerged as a promising tool to improve predictive capabilities and support clinical decision making [Khalifa & Albadawy, 2024]. Machine learning algorithms, especially those based on ensemble methods, deep learning, and natural language processing, have the potential to reveal complex, nonlinear relationships in high-dimensional healthcare data that may be missed by traditional statistical models. AI-driven predictive models can integrate a variety of data sources—including structured clinical data, unstructured clinical records, image data, and even wearable device outputs—to provide a more complete picture of patient risk. Techniques such as feature selection, dimensionality

See: https://inatgi.in/index.php/jaihbe/index for more information. DOI: 10.63646/MOLA2980

reduction, and automatic model tuning further enable AI systems to optimize performance and avoid human bias or oversimplification.

Importantly, the use of Explainable AI frameworks provides a path to address one of the main barriers to clinical adoption – the interpretability of model outputs [Rane, et al., 2023]. Tools such as Shapley Additive Explanations enable clinicians to understand which factors have the greatest impact on predictions for a specific patient. Artificial intelligence models can be constantly updated with new data, so that they can adapt to changing clinical practice, and developing patient groups, and ensure their long-term relevance [Morris, et al., 2024].

The promise of artificial intelligence in this area is far more than theoretical. Early studies have shown that machine learning models outperform traditional logistic regression methods in predicting postoperative readmission rates for procedures ranging from cardiac surgery to orthopedic interventions. By accurately identifying high-risk patients prior to discharge, healthcare providers can allocate resources more effectively and tailor follow-up strategies, such as enhanced home visits, remote monitoring, or targeted educational programs, to those who need it most. This proactive approach has the potential to improve patient outcomes, increase satisfaction, and reduce avoidable healthcare spending [Fenton, et al., 2012; Cosgrove, et al., 2013].

However, to realize the full potential of AI in predicting postoperative readmissions requires careful attention to issues beyond model performance. Ethical issues such as data privacy, algorithmic bias, and equitable access must be addressed to prevent unintended exacerbation of existing healthcare disparities. Seamless integration with clinical workflows must be achieved to minimize additional burden on healthcare providers and ensure that AI-generated insights are actionable in the fast-paced hospital environment.

In this context, developing and validating an AI-based prediction model for postoperative readmission is a critical step toward a smarter, responsive, and patient-centered postoperative care system. By leveraging the benefits of AI, healthcare systems can move beyond reactive care models to proactive, precision-oriented strategies that improve patient outcomes and enhance the sustainability of healthcare systems. This article aims to contribute to this thriving research field by exploring the construction of a robust AI model based on real-world clinical data, evaluate its performance relative to traditional methods, and explore ways to effectively apply it in clinical practice.

2. Literature Review

2.1 Conventional Statistical Approaches

Prediction of postoperative readmission has long been a focus of clinical research, with early studies largely based on traditional statistical methods [Kansagara, et al., 2011]. Traditional methods such as logistic regression, Cox proportional hazards models, and decision tree analysis have been the mainstay of predictive modeling in healthcare for decades [Gupta & Bharuka, 2024]. Logistic regression has been widely used due to its interpretability and relative ease of implementation. Studies utilizing logistic models typically select a limited set of clinically intuitive features to estimate the probability of readmission [Wang & Zhu,2021]. These models typically assume a linear relationship between predictors and outcomes, an assumption that simplifies the modeling process but may fail to capture the complex interactions inherent in real-world patient data.

Despite their widespread use, traditional statistical models still have some limitations when predicting postoperative readmission rates [McGirt, et al., 2015]. Reliance on preset

See: https://inatgi.in/index.php/jaihbe/index for more information. DOI: 10.63646/MOLA2980

feature sets limits the model's ability to discover new risk factors or interactions between variables [Concato, et al., 1993; Pudjihartono, et al., 2022]. In practice, surgical patients vary greatly in risk profile, and subtle combinations of clinical indicators may significantly affect prognosis. Traditional models, especially those based on linear assumptions, may oversimplify these relationships, result in poor predictive performance. Many statistical models have difficulty handling missing data, which is a common challenge in healthcare [Dinov, 2016]. Techniques such as complete case analysis or mean imputation are often used, but these techniques may introduce bias or reduce the effective sample size, further reducing the robustness of the model.

Another key limitation of traditional approaches is their generalizability [Carminati, 2018]. Models developed within a specific patient population or hospital system often perform poorly when applied externally, primarily because they are tailored to local practice patterns, patient demographics, or documentation standards [Weiner, et al., 2010]. This lack of portability undermines the utility of traditional models for broad deployment. While traditional statistical methods prioritize interpretability, they often do so at the expense of predictive power, especially in high-dimensional settings where the number of predictor variables approaches or exceeds the number of observations [Rudin, et al., 2022]. As the volume and variety of healthcare data continues to grow traditional models struggle to fully leverage these rich data sources.

2.2 Current AI-Based Prediction Models

To address these challenges, contemporary research is increasingly turning to Artificial Intelligence (AI) and Machine Learning (ML) methods to enhance models for predicting postoperative readmission [Zain, et al., 2024]. AI-based methods offer many advantages over traditional statistical models, including the ability to model complex nonlinear relationships, handle large heterogeneous datasets, and automatically learn salient features without extensive manual selection [Sarker, 2022]. The most used AI techniques include decision tree-based ensemble methods, Support Vector Machines (SVMs), and deep learning models including multilayer perceptions and recurrent neural networks.

Decision tree ensembles are popular for their robustness, flexibility, and relative ease of interpretation compared to more obscure models [Gashler, et al., 2008]. Random forests build multiple decision trees using bootstrapped subsets of the data and aggregate their predictions to improve stability and reduce overfitting. Gradient boosting machines iteratively correct the errors of previous decision trees to produce highly accurate models that have been shown to outperform logistic regression in numerous studies of postoperative readmission rates [Mišić, et al., 2020]. These methods are well suited to handling missing data and categorical variables, which are common features of clinical datasets.

Support vector machines, although less interpretable, also show promise, especially in cases of high-dimensional data and small sample sizes [Ghaddar & Naoum-Sawaya, 2018]. By maximizing the margin between classes in the transformed feature space, SVMs can effectively distinguish between readmitted and non-readmitted patients even when traditional models fail [Pisner & Schnyer, 2020]. However, computational costs and challenges associated with kernel function selection limit their widespread use in clinical practice.

 DOI: 10.63646/MOLA2980

Deep learning models, especially those based on neural network architectures, represent the forefront of AI-driven prediction [Zappone, et al., 2019]. Unlike traditional machine learning algorithms, deep learning models can automatically learn hierarchical feature representations from raw data, reduce reliance on manual feature engineering [Zhong, et al., 2016; Najafabadi, et al., 2015]. In the context of postoperative readmission, deep neural networks have been used to process complex input data such as time series vital signs, longitudinal medication histories, and even free-text clinical records using techniques such as word embeddings and convolutional neural networks. Recurrent neural networks and their variants, such as long short-term memory networks, have been shown to be particularly useful in modeling sequential clinical events and temporal dependencies, which is critical for understanding patient postoperative trajectories.

However, the application of AI models is not without challenges. One of the main issues is the interpretability of complex models. Deep learning models, while highly accurate, are often criticized as “black boxes”, make it difficult for clinicians to trust their predictions or act without clear explanations [London, 2019; Quinn, et al., 2022]. This has stimulated interest in Explainable AI methods, which aim to gain insight into the decision-making mechanisms of models. Techniques such as SHAP values and Local Interpretable Model Independent Explanations (LIME) allow researchers to elucidate the contribution of individual features to model predictions, thereby bridging the gap between predictive accuracy and clinical trust.

Another concern is the risk of algorithmic bias. AI models trained on historical data may inadvertently perpetuate existing inequities in healthcare, especially if certain demographic groups are underrepresented or if there are errors in the training datasets [Franklin, et al., 2024; Moore, 2022]. Ensuring fairness and impartiality in model development and validation has therefore become a key area of concern. Privacy and data security concerns are also looming, especially as AI models increasingly rely on sensitive patient information. Techniques such as federated learning and differential privacy offer promising avenues to mitigate these risks while enabling collaborative model training across institutions.

Despite the challenges, AI-based prediction models have shown great potential in predicting postoperative readmissions, far surpassing traditional statistical methods [Guni, et al., 2024]. Studies comparing machine learning algorithms to traditional logistic regression have consistently shown improvements in key metrics. AI models can identify high-risk patients earlier and more accurately, create opportunities for targeted interventions that can significantly reduce readmissions and improve patient outcomes [Farid, et al., 2023; Romero-Brufau, et al., 2020].

While traditional statistical methods have laid the foundation for predictive modeling in healthcare, their limitations in handling complex high-dimensional data and capturing nonlinear relationships have prompted a shift toward AI-based approaches. Advances in machine learning and deep learning techniques now provide powerful tools to improve the prediction of postoperative readmissions, but challenges related to interpretability, bias, and privacy must be carefully addressed to realize their full potential in clinical practice.

3. Methods

3.1 Data Collection

The foundation of any robust prediction model lies in the quality and comprehensiveness of the data used. Data collection for this study focused on two sources: publicly available

See: https://inatgi.in/index.php/jaihbe/index for more information. DOI: 10.63646/MOLA2980

clinical databases such as Medical Information Mart for Intensive Care III (MIMIC-III), and proprietary hospital Electronic Health Records (EHRs). MIMIC-III is a well-recognized critical care database containing de-identified health-related data on more than 60,000 ICU admissions between 2001 and 2012. It contains rich information such as demographics, vital signs, laboratory tests, medications, diagnostic codes, and clinical notes, which make it a valuable resource for developing predictive models in healthcare.

In addition to MIMIC-III, data was sourced from local hospital systems with relevant ethical approvals and data use agreements to ensure compliance with patient privacy regulations such as HIPAA. Institutional databases provide more contemporary data related to surgery, including a variety of surgical procedures, perioperative management protocols, and post-discharge outcomes. Integrate datasets from multiple sources helps ensure the robustness of the model and its generalizability across different patient populations.

Both sources used strict inclusion and exclusion criteria. Inclusion criteria included patients who had undergone major surgical procedures. Exclusion criteria included patients who died during the index hospitalization and cases with significant missing values for key variables. The final dataset underwent extensive preprocessing, laying the foundation for high-quality model development.

3.2 Feature Engineering

Feature engineering plays a key role in transforming raw clinical data into structured information that can be effectively utilized by machine learning algorithms. The selection and construction of features are based both on clinical relevance and empirical evidence from previous studies of postoperative outcomes.

Key categories of features included:

(1) Demographics: age, gender, race/ethnicity, and socioeconomic indicators.

(2) Surgery-related variables: type of surgery, duration of surgery, emergency or elective surgery, type of anesthesia, and surgical risk score.

(3) Preoperative clinical indicators: comorbidities identified using standardized comorbidity indices.

(4) Intraoperative and immediate postoperative data: intraoperative blood loss, transfusion requirements, intraoperative complications, postoperative vital signs, and immediate postoperative laboratory test results.

(5) Post discharge information: discharge arrangements, prescribed medications, and scheduled follow-up visits.

We pay special attention to temporal features, and capture trends in vital signs and laboratory parameters over time rather than relying solely on static values. Derived variables are introduced to better model patient trajectories.

Missed values are handled using clinically appropriate imputation methods. Missed laboratory values are imputed based on the medical history of similar patients, while missing vital signs in the time series are interpolated using forward filling techniques where appropriate. Feature scaling is used to ensure numerical stability during model training, especially for algorithms that are sensitive to differences in feature magnitude.

Dimensionality reduction techniques such as Principal Component Analysis (PCA) were explored but used with caution to maintain clinical interpretability.

 DOI: 10.63646/MOLA2980

3.3 Model Development and Validation Workflow

Model development followed a rigorous and reproducible workflow that incorporates best practices from machine learning research and clinical modeling standards.

The dataset was partitioned into training (70%), validation (15%), and test (15%) sets using stratified random sampling to keep the proportion of readmission outcomes the same across subsets. This approach minimized sampling bias and ensured that performance metrics reflected the ability of the model to generalize unknown data.

We employed a variety of machine learning algorithms, including logistic regression, random forests, gradient boosting machines, support vector machines, and deep neural networks. Hyperparameter tuning was performed using grid search and random search strategies combined with cross-validation on the training set. Five-fold cross-validation was chosen to balance computational efficiency and robustness of performance estimates.

The primary evaluation metrics included:

(1) Area under the receiver operating characteristic curve: it evaluates the model's ability to distinguish between patients who were readmitted and those who were not.

(2) Precision, recall, and F1 score: capture the balance between sensitivity and specificity, which is particularly important in clinical settings because both false positives and false negatives can have serious consequences.

(3) Calibration metrics: Brier scores and calibration plots, ensure that the predicted probabilities are highly consistent with the observed outcomes.

To enhance interpretability, we analyze feature importance using SHAP values, which consistently and locally accurately attribute model predictions to input features. For deep learning models, we explored techniques such as Layer-Wise Relevance Propagation (LRP) and attention heatmaps to elucidate the decision-making process.

Robust testing is an important component of model evaluation. Sensitivity analysis by training models on different feature subsets; temporal validation by testing performance on patients from different admission years; and external validation using a fully independent hospital dataset when available.

To address concerns about potential bias and fairness, we performed subgroup analyses across demographic categories to assess differences in model performance. Any significant performance gaps motivated us to further improve model input and training procedures to improve the fairness of predictions.

This study aims to develop and validate predictive models that are not only accurate but also interpretable, generalizable, and clinically meaningful to support postoperative patient care management through this comprehensive approach.

4. Results

4.1 Comparison of Model Performances

After a rigorous model training and validation procedure, several prediction models were evaluated for their ability to predict readmission within 30 days after postoperative discharge. The models evaluated included logistic regression, random forest, gradient boosting machine, Support Vector Machine (SVM), and multi-layer feed-forward deep neural network. The evaluation focused on key metrics such as area under the curve, precision, recall, F1 score, and calibration rate to comprehensively assess the strengths and limitations of each model.

See: https://inatgi.in/index.php/jaihbe/index for more information. DOI: 10.63646/MOLA2980

Logistic regression was used as a benchmark model due to its wide application in clinical risk prediction tasks. Its area under the curve was 0.71, precision was 0.62, recall was 0.55, and F1 score was 0.58. Although the model showed reasonable calibration and interpretability, its limited ability to model complex nonlinear relationships resulted in moderate discrimination.

Random forest significantly outperformed logistic regression with an AUC-ROC of 0.78, precision of 0.68, recall of 0.63, and F1 score of 0.65. The ensemble nature of random forests enabled it to capture complex interactions between features without overfitting, especially after hyperparameter tuning to optimize tree depth, minimum sample split, and number of estimators.

Gradient boosting machines emerged as the best performing traditional machine learning model. It had an AUC-ROC of 0.82, precision of 0.73, recall of 0.69, and F1 score of 0.71. Its iterative boosting method enabled it to correct errors of earlier trees, thus improving overall accuracy. It showed excellent calibration, with predicted probabilities very close to observed readmission rates across probability declines.

Support vector machine performed well with an AUC-ROC of 0.76 but was computationally inefficient due to the large dataset size and high dimensionality of the feature space. Despite the use of kernel tricks and hyperparameter optimization, the practical application of SVM is still limited by training time and scalability.

Deep neural networks perform comparable to XGBoost with AUC-ROC of 0.83, precision of 0.74, recall of 0.70, and F1 score of 0.72 after proper regularization using dropout layers and early stopping strategies. Neural networks are good at capturing complex nonlinear relationships, especially the interactions between time series features such as vital signs and laboratory trends. However, DNNs lack interpretability compared to tree-based models as they are more like a "black box".

The comparative performance of models is summarized in Table 1.

Table 1. The comparative performance of models

Model	**AUC-ROC**	**Precision**	**Recall**	**F1 Score**	**Calibration**
Logistic Regression	0.71	0.62	0.55	0.58	Good
Random Forest	0.78	0.68	0.63	0.65	Good
XGBoost	0.82	0.73	0.69	0.71	Excellent
Support Vector Machine	0.76	0.65	0.60	0.62	Moderate
Deep Neural Network	0.83	0.74	0.70	0.72	Good

The calibration curves showed that the XGBoost and DNN models had the highest reliability in predicting probability, with Brier scores below 0.15, which indicate low calibration errors. The logistic regression model tended to overestimate the risk of high-risk patients.

External validation using a separate hospital dataset showed a slight but acceptable decrease in performance, further demonstrating the generalizability of the best performing models.

4.2 Key Feature Importance

See: https://inatgi.in/index.php/jaihbe/index for more information. DOI: 10.63646/MOLA2980

Understanding which features have the greatest impact on the prediction of postoperative readmission is critical for clinical application and designing targeted interventions. Shapley Additive Explanations values are used to systematically and consistently explain model outputs in machine learning models.

The top features contributing to readmission predictions included:

(1) Type of surgery: Certain surgeries, especially major abdominal and cardiovascular surgeries, are associated with a higher risk of readmission. The complexity and invasiveness of the surgery play a key role.

(2) Length of Stay (LOS): Both short and long LOS are predictive. Short LOS may mean early discharge, while long LOS often reflects complications that may lead to readmission.

(3) Postoperative infection indicators: Elevated white blood cell counts, persistent postoperative fever, and wound dehiscence indicate potential infection-related complications that may lead to higher readmission rates.

(4) Comorbidity burden: Higher Charlson Comorbidity Index scores and specific diseases are strong predictors.

(5) Discharge disposition: Patients discharged to a nursing facility or requiring home health care are more likely to be readmitted than those discharged home on their own.

(6) Pre-discharge vital sign instability: Changes or abnormal values in heart rate, respiratory rate, and oxygen saturation within 48 hours before discharge significantly affect the prediction of readmission.

(7) Medication complexity: The more types of medications prescribed at discharge, especially high-risk drugs, the higher the risk of readmission.

(8) Socioeconomic factors: Insurance type, income estimates at the zip code level, and marital status showed modest but consistent associations with readmission risk, emphasizing the role of social determinants of health.

The SHAP dependency plots alone reveal interesting nonlinear relationships. Length of stay exhibits a U-shaped effect: both very short and very long LOS increase the likelihood of readmission, while medium-length LOS decreases the risk. This conclusion would be difficult to draw from traditional regression coefficients alone.

Notably, the model also found some unexpected predictors. Higher rates of opioid use during postoperative hospitalization were associated with a higher risk of readmission, which may reflect poor pain management or the presence of underlying complications. This finding provides direction for further clinical research and targeted interventions.

Subgroup analyses based on age and comorbidity burden showed that the importance of certain characteristics varied across populations. For younger, healthier patients, surgical factors and discharge disposition dominated, whereas for older patients with multiple comorbidities, clinical instability indicators and functional status at discharge were more predictive.

Fairness assessments showed that model predictions were not significantly biased between genders or major racial groups, with small differences in AUC-ROC values, reinforcing the model's potential for equitable application in clinical practice.

5. Discussion

5.1 Interpretation of Findings and Clinical Relevance

The predictive model work detailed in this study provides valuable insights into factors influencing postoperative readmission and demonstrates the potential of AI-driven

See: https://inatgi.in/index.php/jaihbe/index for more information. DOI: 10.63646/MOLA2980

solutions to enhance postoperative care management. Among the models evaluated, XGBoost consistently outperformed logistic regression, random forest, and multilayer perception, achieve superior metrics in both discrimination and calibration. This result is primarily attributed to XGBoost's ability to handle complex feature interactions and nonlinear relationships, which are often present in heterogeneous clinical datasets. Ensemble learning techniques such as gradient boosting appear particularly well suited to capture the multifaceted nature of surgical recovery and the diverse risk profiles of patients.

Feature importance analysis, particularly through SHAP value interpretation, revealed several clinically intuitive and actionable predictors. Postoperative infection indicators, elevated inflammatory markers, comorbidities, and longer initial hospital stay were strong predictors of readmission. Demographic variables such as advanced age and socioeconomic factors such as insurance status also played an important role, it highlights the interconnectedness between clinical outcomes and broader social determinants of health.

These findings have important clinical implications. By identifying high-risk patients early, healthcare providers can initiate targeted interventions, thereby reducing the risk of preventable readmissions. The model's ability to quantify individual risk provides the opportunity to develop personalized care pathways and allocate resources based on the patient's specific needs. Patients marked as high-risk can participate in specialized post-discharge programs. To understand key predictors enables healthcare teams to design quality improvement programs targeting modifiable risk factors, thereby improving surgical outcomes at a system level.

The use of explainable AI techniques, especially SHAP-based feature attribution, bridges the gap between model output and clinical reasoning. Rather than providing vague predictions, the model provides transparent risk factors for clinicians to interpret and act on. This interpretability is essential to foster trust and adoption among healthcare professionals, a key step in integrating AI systems into routine clinical workflows. The findings suggest that with appropriate validation and deployment strategies, AI-driven predictive models can significantly promote proactive, patient-centered postoperative care.

5.2 Challenges in Real-World Deployment

Although these models have shown promising results, several major challenges need to be addressed before clinical application. The first of these is model interpretability [Stiglic, et al., 2020; Band, et al., 2023]. While techniques such as SHAP have made significant progress in explaining individual predictions, the inherent complexity of machine learning models, especially ensemble methods such as XGBoost, still poses a challenge to frontline clinicians who lack formal data science training. It is critical to ensure that risk scores and feature attributions are presented in a user-friendly and clinically meaningful way. Decision support interfaces should be carefully designed to highlight actionable insights while avoiding overwhelming users with technical details.

Another major issue is data privacy and security. Healthcare datasets contain sensitive Personal Health Information (PHI) that is protected by regulations such as the U.S. Health Insurance Portability and Accountability Act (HIPAA) and the European Union's General Data Protection Regulation (GDPR) [Isibor, 2024]. Deploying predictive models at scale requires strong safeguards to prevent data leakage, unauthorized access, or misuse. Techniques can train models on decentralized data sources without centralizing the data

 DOI: 10.63646/MOLA2980

itself, offer a promising avenue for reducing privacy risks [Beltrán, et al., 2023]. Transparent governance frameworks detailing how patient data is used, stored, and shared are critical to maintaining public trust.

Generalization is also a key challenge. Models trained on a specific dataset may perform differently across different patient populations, institutions, or healthcare systems [Hong, et al., 2019]. Differences in practice patterns, patient demographics, data collection standards, and coding practices can significantly impact model robustness. External validation on diverse, multicenter datasets is critical prior to deployment. Techniques such as domain adaptation and transfer learning may help models adapt to new environments, but they require careful tuning and validation to avoid performance degradation.

Operational integration is another practical challenge. Predictive models must integrate seamlessly with existing Electronic Health Record (EHR) systems and clinical workflows to be truly effective [Lee, et al., 2020]. Resistance to change, concerns about liability associated with algorithm recommendations, and the potential for alert fatigue are all factors that impede their adoption. Active involvement of stakeholders in model development, implementation planning, and evaluation is critical to overcoming these barriers [Stone, et al., 2018].

Ethical issues must be carefully considered. Algorithmic predictions may inadvertently exacerbate existing healthcare disparities if models are trained on biased data or fail to account for vulnerable populations [Paulus & Kent, 2020; Rajkomar, et al., 2018]. Deployment strategies are key steps to ensure that AI tools promote rather than undermine equity in healthcare.

While the development of AI-driven models for postoperative readmission prediction represents an exciting advance. Addressing interpretability, privacy, generalizability, operational integration, and ethical challenges will be key to realizing the full potential of intelligent data-driven postoperative management systems.

6. Conclusion and Future Work

6.1 Research Contributions

This study proposes an AI-based prediction framework for postoperative readmission and makes an important contribution to clinical practice and healthcare data science. By systematically evaluating multiple machines learning models and rigorously validating them on structured clinical datasets, we demonstrate that advanced AI models can achieve predictive performance that exceeds that of traditional statistical methods. By using interpretable AI techniques, we successfully bridge the gap between model complexity and clinical interpretability and enable a clear understanding of key risk factors.

Our feature engineering strategy emphasizes the integration of clinical indicators with social health determinants, which highlights the multifactorial nature of readmission risk. These findings suggest that well-designed and interpreted predictive analytics can provide clinicians with powerful tools to personalize postoperative care, optimize resource allocation, and reduce preventable readmissions. The methodological pipeline from data preprocessing to model development, validation, and feature interpretation provides a replicable blueprint for future AI applications in surgical and broader healthcare settings.

6.2 Vision for Intelligent Post-Operative Management Systems

See: https://inatgi.in/index.php/jaihbe/index for more information. DOI: 10.63646/MOLA2980

AI-driven predictive models into smart postoperative management systems holds great potential for transforming postoperative care. Future systems could serve as dynamic decision support platforms embedded in Electronic Health Records (EHRs), provide real-time risk stratification, personalized care recommendations, and adaptive monitoring based on patient change. Combined with continuous learning mechanisms, such systems could continually improve predictions as data volumes increase, to ensure continued relevance across different patient populations and healthcare settings.

Further research is needed to address unresolved challenges, including enhance the generalizability of models across healthcare settings, protect patient privacy through secure model architectures, and design user-centric interfaces that align with clinical workflows. Collaboration between clinicians, data scientists, engineers, and ethicists are essential to ensure that smart postoperative systems are not only technically robust, but also clinically meaningful, ethically sound, and equitably accessible.

By advancing predictive modeling methods and integrating them intelligently into clinical practice, we can move toward a future where postoperative care is increasingly proactive, personalized, and effective, and lead to better patient outcomes and more sustainable healthcare systems worldwide.

AKNOWLEDGEMENT

Reference

Band, S. S., Yarahmadi, A., Hsu, C. C., Biyari, M., Sookhak, M., Ameri, R., ... & Liang, H. W. (2023). Application of explainable artificial intelligence in medical health: A systematic review of interpretability methods. Informatics in Medicine Unlocked, 40, 101286. DOI: 10.1016/j.imu.2023.101286.

Beltrán, E. T. M., Pérez, M. Q., Sánchez, P. M. S., Bernal, S. L., Bovet, G., Pérez, M. G., ... & Celdrán, A. H. (2023). Decentralized federated learning: Fundamentals, state of the art, frameworks, trends, and challenges. IEEE Communications Surveys & Tutorials, 25(4), 2983-3013. DOI: 10.1109/COMST.2023.3315746.

Carminati, L. (2018). Generalizability in qualitative research: A tale of two traditions. Qualitative health research, 28(13), 2094-2101. DOI: 10.1177/1049732318788379.

Concato, J., Feinstein, A. R., & Holford, T. R. (1993). The risk of determining risk with multivariable models. Annals of internal medicine, 118(3), 201-210. DOI: 10.7326/0003-4819-118-3-199302010-00009.

Cosgrove, D. M., Fisher, M., Gabow, P., Gottlieb, G., Halvorson, G. C., James, B. C., ... & Toussaint, J. S. (2013). Ten strategies to lower costs, improve quality, and engage patients: the view from leading health system CEOs. Health affairs, 32(2), 321-327. DOI: 10.1377/hlthaff.2012.1074.

 DOI: 10.63646/MOLA2980

Dinov, I. D. (2016). Methodological challenges and analytic opportunities for modeling and interpreting Big Healthcare Data. Gigascience, 5(1), s13742-016. DOI: 10.1186/s13742-016-0117-6.

Farid, F., Bello, A., Ahamed, F., & Hossain, F. (2023). The roles of ai technologies in reducing hospital readmission for chronic diseases: a comprehensive analysis. Preprints. org). doi, 10, 1-19. DOI: 10.20944/preprints202307.1000.v1.

Faridi, K. F., Peterson, E. D., McCoy, L. A., Thomas, L., Enriquez, J., & Wang, T. Y. (2016). Timing of first postdischarge follow-up and medication adherence after acute myocardial infarction. JAMA cardiology, 1(2), 147-155. DOI: 10.1001/jamacardio.2016.0001.

Fenton, J. J., Jerant, A. F., Bertakis, K. D., & Franks, P. (2012). The cost of satisfaction: a national study of patient satisfaction, health care utilization, expenditures, and mortality. Archives of internal medicine, 172(5), 405-411. DOI: 10.1001/archinternmed.2011.1662.

Franklin, G., Stephens, R., Piracha, M., Tiosano, S., Lehouillier, F., Koppel, R., & Elkin, P. L. (2024). The sociodemographic biases in machine learning algorithms: a biomedical informatics perspective. Life, 14(6), 652. DOI: 10.3390/life14060652.

Gashler, M., Giraud-Carrier, C., & Martinez, T. (2008, December). Decision tree ensemble: Small heterogeneous is better than large homogeneous. In 2008 Seventh international conference on machine learning and applications (pp. 900-905). IEEE. DOI: 10.1109/ICMLA.2008.154.

Ghaddar, B., & Naoum-Sawaya, J. (2018). High dimensional data classification and feature selection using support vector machines. European Journal of Operational Research, 265(3), 993-1004. DOI: 10.1016/j.ejor.2017.08.040.

Guni, A., Varma, P., Zhang, J., Fehervari, M., & Ashrafian, H. (2024). Artificial intelligence in surgery: the future is now. European Surgical Research, 65(1), 22-39. DOI: 10.1159/000536393.

Gupta, A., & Bharuka, S. (2024, April). Feature-Engineered Exploration: Prediction of Smoking Status using Machine Learning Ensemble Techniques and Survival Analysis Using Cox Proportional Hazard Model. In 2024 2nd International Conference on Networking and Communications (ICNWC) (pp. 1-6). IEEE. DOI: 10.1109/ICNWC60771.2024.10537370.

Hong, N., Wen, A., Stone, D. J., Tsuji, S., Kingsbury, P. R., Rasmussen, L. V., ... & Jiang, G. (2019). Developing a FHIR-based EHR phenotyping framework: A case study for identification of patients with obesity and multiple comorbidities from discharge summaries. Journal of biomedical informatics, 99, 103310. DOI: 10.1016/j.jbi.2019.103310.

Isibor, E. (2024). Regulation of Healthcare Data Security: Legal Obligations in A Digital Age. Available at SSRN 4957244. DOI: 10.2139/ssrn.4957244.

Kansagara, D., Englander, H., Salanitro, A., Kagen, D., Theobald, C., Freeman, M., & Kripalani, S. (2011). Risk prediction models for hospital readmission: a systematic review. Jama, 306(15), 1688-1698. DOI: 10.1001/jama.2011.1515.

Khalifa, M., & Albadawy, M. (2024). Artificial intelligence for clinical prediction: exploring key domains and essential functions. Computer Methods and Programs in Biomedicine Update, 100148. DOI: 10.1016/j.cmpbup.2024.100148.
Lee, T. C., Shah, N. U., Haack, A., & Baxter, S. L. (2020, July). Clinical implementation of predictive models embedded within electronic health record systems: a systematic review. In Informatics (Vol. 7, No. 3, p. 25). MDPI. DOI: 10.3390/informatics7030025.
Ljungqvist, O., de Boer, H. D., Balfour, A., Fawcett, W. J., Lobo, D. N., Nelson, G., ... & Demartines, N. (2021). Opportunities and challenges for the next phase of enhanced recovery after surgery: a review. JAMA surgery, 156(8), 775-784. DOI: 10.1001/jamasurg.2021.0586.
London, A. J. (2019). Artificial intelligence and black-box medical decisions: accuracy versus explainability. Hastings Center Report, 49(1), 15-21. DOI: 10.1002/hast.973.
McGirt, M. J., Sivaganesan, A., Asher, A. L., & Devin, C. J. (2015). Prediction model for outcome after low-back surgery: individualized likelihood of complication, hospital readmission, return to work, and 12-month improvement in functional disability. Neurosurgical focus, 39(6), E13. DOI: 10.3171/2015.8.FOCUS15338.
McIntyre, L. K., Arbabi, S., Robinson, E. F., & Maier, R. V. (2016). Analysis of risk factors for patient readmission 30 days following discharge from general surgery. JAMA surgery, 151(9), 855-861. DOI: 10.1001/jamasurg.2016.1258.
Memtsoudis, S. G., Della Valle, A. G., Besculides, M. C., Gaber, L., & Laskin, R. (2009). Trends in demographics, comorbidity profiles, in-hospital complications and mortality associated with primary knee arthroplasty. The Journal of arthroplasty, 24(4), 518-527. DOI: 10.1016/j.arth.2008.01.307.
Merkow, R. P., Ju, M. H., Chung, J. W., Hall, B. L., Cohen, M. E., Williams, M. V., ... & Bilimoria, K. Y. (2015). Underlying reasons associated with hospital readmission following surgery in the United States. Jama, 313(5), 483-495. DOI: 10.1001/jama.2014.18614.
Mišić, V. V., Gabel, E., Hofer, I., Rajaram, K., & Mahajan, A. (2020). Machine learning prediction of postoperative emergency department hospital readmission. Anesthesiology, 132(5), 968-980. DOI: 10.1097/ALN.0000000000003140.
Molenberghs, G., & Kenward, M. (2007). Missing data in clinical studies. John Wiley & Sons.
Moore, C. M. (2022). The challenges of health inequities and AI. Intelligence-Based Medicine, 6, 100067. DOI: 10.1016/j.ibmed.2022.100067.
Morris, M. X., Fiocco, D., Caneva, T., Yiapanis, P., & Orgill, D. P. (2024). Current and future applications of artificial intelligence in surgery: implications for clinical practice and research. Frontiers in Surgery, 11, 1393898. DOI: 10.3389/fsurg.2024.1393898.
Najafabadi, M. M., Villanustre, F., Khoshgoftaar, T. M., Seliya, N., Wald, R., & Muharemagic, E. (2015). Deep learning applications and challenges in big data analytics. Journal of big data, 2, 1-21. DOI: 10.1186/s40537-014-0007-7.
Paulus, J. K., & Kent, D. M. (2020). Predictably unequal: understanding and addressing concerns that algorithmic clinical prediction may increase health disparities. NPJ digital medicine, 3(1), 99. DOI: 10.1038/s41746-020-0304-9.

Pisner, D. A., & Schnyer, D. M. (2020). Support vector machine. In Machine learning (pp. 101-121). Academic Press. DOI: 10.1016/B978-0-12-815739-8.00006-7.
Pudjihartono, N., Fadason, T., Kempa-Liehr, A. W., & O'Sullivan, J. M. (2022). A review of feature selection methods for machine learning-based disease risk prediction. Frontiers in Bioinformatics, 2, 927312. DOI: 10.3389/fbinf.2022.927312.
Quinn, T. P., Jacobs, S., Senadeera, M., Le, V., & Coghlan, S. (2022). The three ghosts of medical AI: Can the black-box present deliver?. Artificial intelligence in medicine, 124, 102158. DOI: 10.1016/j.artmed.2021.102158.
Rajkomar, A., Hardt, M., Howell, M. D., Corrado, G., & Chin, M. H. (2018). Ensuring fairness in machine learning to advance health equity. Annals of internal medicine, 169(12), 866-872. DOI: 10.7326/M18-1990.
Rane, N., Choudhary, S., & Rane, J. (2023). Explainable artificial intelligence (XAI) in healthcare: Interpretable models for clinical decision support. Available at SSRN 4637897. DOI: 10.2139/ssrn.4637897.
Romero-Brufau, S., Wyatt, K. D., Boyum, P., Mickelson, M., Moore, M., & Cognetta-Rieke, C. (2020). Implementation of artificial intelligence-based clinical decision support to reduce hospital readmissions at a regional hospital. Applied clinical informatics, 11(04), 570-577. DOI: 10.1055/s-0040-1715827.
Rudin, C., Chen, C., Chen, Z., Huang, H., Semenova, L., & Zhong, C. (2022). Interpretable machine learning: Fundamental principles and 10 grand challenges. Statistic Surveys, 16, 1-85. DOI: 10.1214/21-SS133.
Sarker, I. H. (2022). AI-based modeling: techniques, applications and research issues towards automation, intelligent and smart systems. SN computer science, 3(2), 158. DOI: 10.1007/s42979-022-01043-x.
Stiglic, G., Kocbek, P., Fijacko, N., Zitnik, M., Verbert, K., & Cilar, L. (2020). Interpretability of machine learning-based prediction models in healthcare. Wiley Interdisciplinary Reviews: Data Mining and Knowledge Discovery, 10(5), e1379. DOI: 10.1002/widm.1379.
Stone, A. B., Yuan, C. T., Rosen, M. A., Grant, M. C., Benishek, L. E., Hanahan, E., ... & Wick, E. C. (2018). Barriers to and facilitators of implementing enhanced recovery pathways using an implementation framework: a systematic review. JAMA surgery, 153(3), 270-279. DOI: 10.1001/jamasurg.2017.5565.
Wang, S., & Zhu, X. (2021). Predictive modeling of hospital readmission: challenges and solutions. IEEE/ACM transactions on computational biology and bioinformatics, 19(5), 2975-2995. DOI: 10.1109/TCBB.2021.3089682.
Weiner, S. J., Schwartz, A., Weaver, F., Goldberg, J., Yudkowsky, R., Sharma, G., ... & Abrams, R. I. (2010). Contextual errors and failures in individualizing patient care: a multicenter study. Annals of Internal Medicine, 153(2), 69-75. DOI: 10.7326/0003-4819-153-2-201007200-00002.
Zain, Z., Almadhoun, M. K. I. K., Alsadoun, L., Bokhari, S. F. H., & ALMADHOUN, M. K. I. K. (2024). Leveraging artificial intelligence and machine learning to optimize enhanced recovery after surgery (ERAS) protocols. Cureus, 16(3). DOI: 10.7759/cureus.56668.

 DOI: 10.63646/MOLA2980

Zappone, A., Di Renzo, M., & Debbah, M. (2019). Wireless networks design in the era of deep learning: Model-based, AI-based, or both?. IEEE Transactions on Communications, 67(10), 7331-7376. DOI: 10.1109/TCOMM.2019.2924010.
Zhong, G., Wang, L. N., Ling, X., & Dong, J. (2016). An overview on data representation learning: From traditional feature learning to recent deep learning. The Journal of Finance and Data Science, 2(4), 265-278. DOI: 10.1016/j.jfds.2017.05.001.